U. DI TORINO – P. GHIRARDATO

MATHEMATICAL ECONOMICS: SUGGESTED SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK # 6

1. A finite horizon dynamic programming exercise: Solve problem 2 in chapter 11 (p.278) of Sundaram.

Answer:

- (a) First we formulate this as a FHDP problem. We take $S = [0, \bar{y}]$, where $\bar{y} = f^T(y)$, which is what can be maximally produced in periods 0 to T-1 if nothing is ever put to market. Thus a state is the quantity y of fish in the fishery (what? you didn't know that fish is infinitely divisible?). The action space is the quantity to harvest, so $A = [0, \bar{y}]$ as well. The period t reward is given by $r_t(y, x) = \pi(x)$. This shows that r_t is independent of time and of state. The transition function F is given by $F_t(y, x) = f(y x)$, which is also independent of time. Finally the feasible action correspondence is given by $\Phi_t(y) = [0, y]$, which is also time independent.
- (b) These are obtained if the functions and correspondences of the FHDP problem described above satisfy A1-3 of chapter 11 of Sundaram. Compact-valuedness and continuity of Φ are obvious, and do not require any assumption. In order to satisfy A1, we require π to be continuous on A (remember that r does not depend on S, so if this assumption is satisfied r is continuous on S × A), boundedness follows from the compactness of S × A. Finally A2 holds if f is continuous on R (notice that, since y - x is a continuous function on R₊ × R₊, this is enough to insure that F is continuous on S × A).
- (c) Suppose now that $\pi(x) = \ln x$ and $f(x) = x^{\alpha}$, for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. These satisfy the conditions described above, so that an optimal strategy exists. To find such strategy, we start, by backwards induction, from the last period problem. Since π is increasing, it is obvious that the optimal strategy $g_T(y) = y$ for every $y \in S$. This implies that $V_T(y) = \ln y$. Consider

now the problem at T - 1. Now we have to solve

$$\max_{x \in [0,y]} \ln x + V_T((y-x)^{\alpha}) = \ln x \, (y-x)^{\alpha}.$$

Since this is strictly convex, first-order conditions are necessary and sufficient for a maximum, so we get that

$$g_{T-1}(y) = \frac{y}{1+\alpha}$$
 and $V_{T-1}(y) = (1+\alpha)\ln y + K$,

where K is a sum of terms which does not depend on y (that, as we shall see presently, we do not really have to care about). This seems to suggest that for period t we have

$$g_t(y) = \frac{y}{1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{T-t}},$$
(1)

and

$$V_t(y) = (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{T-t}) \ln y + K(\alpha, t), \quad (2)$$

where once again the terms $K(\alpha, t)$ is a sum which does not depend on y (but only on t and α). We now verify this guess (that works by definition for T-1) by induction. Suppose that g_{τ} and V_{τ} have resp. the form (1) and (2) for every $\tau \in \{t + 1, ..., T\}$. We want to show that then they have it for t. Given y, the firm is

$$\max_{x \in [0,y]} \ln x + V_{t+1}((y-x)^{\alpha}) = \max_{x \in [0,y]} \ln x + (1 + \dots + \alpha^{T-t-1}) \ln(y-x)^{\alpha}$$

Taking first-order conditions, we find that the optimal \hat{x} satisfies

$$\hat{x} = \frac{y}{1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{T-t}},$$

so that $g_t(y)$ does indeed satisfy (1). Plugging this into the objective function immediately shows that $V_t(y)$ also has the form (2), which concludes our induction step, and shows that $\sigma = [g_0, \ldots, g_T]$ is an optimal strategy for the FHDP problem. 2. Solve exercise 3 of Chapter 12 (p.309) in Sundaram.

Answer: We have that f(x) = ax + b, hence

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |a(x - y)| = |a||x - y|.$$

So, for *f* to be a contraction, we need $b \in \mathbf{R}$ and $a \in [-1, 1]$.

3. Solve exercise 21 of Chapter 12 (p.311) in Sundaram.

Answers:

- (a) f is not continuous at x = (1, 1/2). To see this, let $x_n = (1, 1/2 1/n)$, for n = 1, 2, ..., and notice that $x_n \to x$. However, $f(x_n) = 0$ for all n, so that $f(x_n) \to 0 \neq f(x) = 1$.
- (b) If $\delta = 0$, then the optimal choice for s = 0 is a = 0 and for s = 1 is a = 0. This gives V(0) = 0 and V(1) = 1.
- (c) If $s_0 = 0$, then the system is stuck at 0 forever, so that the optimal choice is a = 0 regardless of the discount factor. What if $s_0 = 1$? If the DM chooses any a < 1/2, then $s_1 = 0$, so that the future optimal payoff will hence be 0 (see the previous case). Conditional on this range of values for a, the optimal choice is then given by a = 0, which provides a total stream of payoffs of 1. If the DM chooses $a \ge 1/2$, then $s_1 = 1$. Assuming that any strategy that satisfies Bellman's equation is optimal also in this (discontinuous) case (it is, why?), we thus conjecture that the optimal strategy prescribes a = 1/2 any time that s = 1, which provides $V(1) = 1/[2(1 \delta)]$. In order for Bellman's equation to be satisfied we need

$$1 \le \frac{1}{2(1-\delta)},$$

that is, $\delta \ge 1/2$. So, if $\delta \ge 1/2$, the optimal strategy is $\pi^*(0) = 0$ and $\pi^*(1) = 1/2$. If, instead, $\delta < 1/2$, the optimal strategy is $\pi^*(0) = 0$ and $\pi^*(1) = 0$.

- (d) For $\delta = 1$, the solution 'should' be the same as that for $\delta > 1/2$ above.
- (e) If *f* has this shape, *f* is again discontinuous (proof?), but in this case the optimal strategy is missing because of an 'openness' problem. When $s_0 = 0$, the optimal thing to do

is still a = 0, but when $s_0 = 1$, the DM would like to choose as close to 1/2 as possible, without getting there. As game theorists would say, there is thus no optimal strategy, but there are ϵ -optimal strategies (strategies that deliver an expected utility which is less than ϵ away from optimality, for every $\epsilon > 0$). The morale is that, when continuity of *f* fails, one could still find an optimal strategy (like in (c)), or one could not.