DECISIONS AND UNCERTAINTY: HOMEWORK # 5

- 1. Imagine a world in which the result of any lottery depends only on one event *A* happening or not. The probability of event *A* is $p \in (0, 1)$. Thus every simple act *f* can be written as an ordered pair (f_1, f_2) , where f_1 is the (monetary) result to be obtained if *A* occurs, and f_2 is the result obtained otherwise. Until instructed otherwise, suppose that the set of acts is all of \mathbb{R}^2 , and that a DM satisfies all the von Neumann-Morgenstern axioms (including axiom NM0) on it, and that her utility function $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and increasing.
 - (a) Draw a set of coordinate axis (this is called the *Hirshleifer-Yaari diagram*). Depict the 45° line. Give an intuitive interpretation of the points on that line.
 - (b) Suppose that the DM is risk-neutral. Using the graph drawn in (a), draw a typical indifference locus, that is, choose a point f and depict graphically the set of all the acts which are indifferent to it. Explain your picture analytically. Considering the point f' at which the indifference locus cuts the 45° line, what is the value of its slope at f'? Draw another indifference locus, corresponding to higher expected utility.
 - (c) Suppose now that the DM is risk-averse. Draw a typical indifference locus, again explaining analytically your picture. Again, what is the slope of the indifference locus when it cuts the 45° line?
 - (d) For a given nondegenerate act f, draw its indifference locus and depict graphically its certainty equivalent c(f).
 - (e) How would the previous answers change if: (i) *u* could be discontinuous, (ii) *u* could be nondecreasing?
 - (f) Imagine now that the DM's preferences can be represented by a RDEU functional, with a strictly convex distortion function φ . Start by assuming that the DM has a linear utility function (for instance u(x) = x), as in Yaari's dual

EU model. Depict the typical indifference locus, with particular attention to what happens when it crosses the 45° line.

(g) Define, analogously to what we did for expected utility, the certainty equivalent of act f to be a certain amount which is indifferent to f. Explain why, under the assumptions we made so far, it is unique, so that we can label it c(f). Depict it graphically in the diagram. Now define the risk premium of f as usual:

$$\pi(f) = E(f) - c(f),$$

depict it graphically (as an act!). This is another way to make a point we made in class. Which?

- (h) Suppose now that we make *u* strictly concave, what is going to happen to the risk premium of a given act *f*?
- (i) Let *x* be a given (positive, let's say) amount, and consider the class of all the acts of the form $f(t) = x + t\epsilon$, where $t \ge 0$ and ϵ is a nondegenerate r.v. such that $E\epsilon = 0$. Write $\pi(t) \equiv \pi(f(t))$. It is immediate to notice that $\pi(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$ and $\pi(0) = 0$. *Graphically* for the case of a DM with concave *u*, study the behavior of $\pi(t)$ as $t \to 0$ when the DM has EU preferences, and when she has RDEU preferences (with strictly concave φ). Both obviously go to zero, but they do it qualitatively in a different fashion. Explain what I mean by this. This different behavior has been formally denominated as follows: EU preferences display have *second order* risk aversion, whereas RDEU preferences display *first order* risk aversion (any guess why?).
- 2. In the Anscombe and Aumann model of Chapter 7 of Kreps, consider the following dominance axiom:

Axiom 7.17 Suppose that $h, h' \in H$ are such that $h_s \succeq h'_s$ for every $s \in S$, then $h \succeq h'$.

Show that it can be substituted for axiom 7.16 in Theorem 7.17. That is, under axioms 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.14, axioms 7.16 and 7.17 are equivalent.

3. Solve problem 6 of Chapter 7 in Kreps.