

For the course “Risk Management” of the Master of Finance at Frankfurt School, there were 4 groups of 30-55 students. **The evaluations are given on a German scale: 1=best, 6=worst**

#### Group 1:

Kriterium	Anzahl	Bewertung
The instructor's competence	25	1.2
The instructor's teaching style	25	2
The instructor's accessibility and individual academic support	25	1.9
The structuring of the course	25	2.1
Provided materials	25	1.9
The overall quality of the course	25	1.9
Course level: too high	8	32%
Course level: just right	17	68%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: higher	15	60%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: about the same	10	40%
Course content: Highly relevant	21	84%
Course content: Relevant	4	16%
gleichgewichteter Mittelwert		1.8

#### Group 2:

Kriterium	Anzahl	Bewertung
The instructor's competence	26	1.2
The instructor's teaching style	26	1.7
The instructor's accessibility and individual academic support	26	1.5
The structuring of the course	26	1.9
Provided materials	26	1.9
The overall quality of the course	26	1.9
Course level: too high	11	42%
Course level: just right	15	58%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: higher	12	46%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: about the same	13	50%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: lower	1	4%
Course content: Highly relevant	19	73%
Course content: Relevant	7	27%
gleichgewichteter Mittelwert		1.6

### Group 3:

Kriterium	Anzahl	Bewertung
The instructor's competence	29	1.3
The instructor's teaching style	29	1.8
The instructor's accessibility and individual academic support	27	1.6
The structuring of the course	29	1.5
Provided materials	29	1.8
The overall quality of the course	29	1.5
Course level: too high	7	24%
Course level: just right	22	76%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: higher	19	66%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: about the same	10	34%
Course content: Highly relevant	21	72%
Course content: Relevant	8	28%
gleichgewichteter Mittelwert		1.5

### Group 4:

Kriterium	Anzahl	Bewertung
The instructor's competence	37	1.3
The instructor's teaching style	37	1.9
The instructor's accessibility and individual academic support	37	2
The structuring of the course	37	2.2
Provided materials	37	2.5
The overall quality of the course	37	2
Course level: too high	11	30%
Course level: just right	26	70%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: higher	15	41%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: about the same	21	57%
Compared to other courses, the workload was: lower	1	3%
Course content: Highly relevant	26	70%
Course content: Relevant	11	30%
gleichgewichteter Mittelwert		1.9