

PhD Economics

Dynamic Macroeconomics

(F. Bagliano)

September 2024

Notes on: Dynamic models of Investment

General references:

Romer (2012) *Advanced Macroeconomics*, fourth edition, ch 9

Bagliano-Bertola (2007) *Models for dynamic macroeconomics*, ch. 2

Blanchard-Fischer (1989) *Lectures on Macroeconomics*, ch.2, section 4 and ch. 6, section.3

On mathematical methods:

Barro-Sala-i-Martin (1995) *Economic Growth*, Mathematical Appendix

Specific references:

Yoshikawa H. (1980) “On the ‘ q ’ theory of investment”, *American Economic Review*, 70, 4, 739-743

Hayashi F. (1982) “Tobin’s marginal q and average q : a neoclassical interpretation”, *Econometrica*, 50, 1, 213-224

Abel A. - Blanchard O.J. (1983) “An intertemporal model of saving and investment”, *Econometrica*, 51, 3, 675-692

Caballero R. (1999) “Aggregate Investment”, *Handbook of Macroeconomics*, vol. 1B, ch 12

Aims:

1. simple characterization of main determinants of investment spending in a dynamic model of a “representative” firm (under certainty);
2. application of dynamic optimization methods in continuous time.

Topics:

1. Motivation
2. Mathematical methods:
 - dynamic optimization in continuous time: general framework
 - Hamiltonian solution
3. Cost-of-adjustment model of investment demand:
 - forward-looking “q” theory
 - steady-state and dynamics

1. Motivation

Traditional (neoclassical) theory (Jorgenson):

→ optimization in an essentially static environment with perfectly “flexible” capital

$$\max_K \pi(K, \dots) \Rightarrow \text{f.o.c.} \quad \underbrace{\frac{\partial R(K^*, \dots)}{\partial K}}_{\text{marg. revenue of capital}} = \underbrace{\left(r + \delta - \frac{\Delta p_K}{p_K} \right)}_{\text{user cost of capital}} p_K$$

given (exogenously) price of capital p_K and its change, interest rate r , depreciation rate δ , product demand conditions and technology;

$$\Rightarrow K^* = K^* \left(r, \delta, \frac{\Delta p_K}{p_K}, \dots \right) \quad \text{“desired” capital stock}$$

Then, *ad hoc* assumptions to explain gradual investment over time.

Problems:

- model for “desired” capital: changes in exogenous variables \Rightarrow immediate discrete change in K^* → not appropriate to model aggregate dynamics of capital and investment;
- no role for expectations: marginal revenue and user cost expressed in current terms, with no forward-looking behaviour.

\Rightarrow **Model with adjustment costs:**

costs of changing $K \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{model of investment with smooth dynamics for } K; \\ \text{forward-looking behaviour of firms.} \end{array} \right.$

2. Dynamic optimization in continuous time (under certainty)

General set-up:

$f(t, z(t), y(t))$: instantaneous objective function

$z(t)$: control variable (flow)

$y(t)$: state variable (stock)

$\dot{y}(t) \equiv \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = g(t, z(t), y(t))$ accumulation constraint (equation of motion)

Set-up of the optimization problem with infinite horizon:

$$\max_{z(t)} L(0) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t, z(t), y(t)) e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to:

$$\dot{y}(t) = g(t, z(t), y(t))$$

$$y(0) = y_0 \quad (\text{given}) \text{ and terminal (transversality) condition}$$

Solution

Form *Lagrangian* with $\mu(t)$ dynamic Lagrange multiplier (“costate variable”):

$$\max \quad \tilde{L}(0) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t, z(t), y(t)) e^{-\rho t} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \mu(t) [g(t, z(t), y(t)) - \dot{y}(t)] dt$$

To derive f.o.c. use the rule of integration by parts applied to:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \mu(t) \dot{y}(t) dt = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\mu(t) y(t)] - \mu(0) y(0) - \int_0^{\infty} \dot{\mu}(t) y(t) dt$$

(from

$$\frac{d[\mu(t) y(t)]}{dt} = \dot{\mu}(t) y(t) + \mu(t) \dot{y}(t)$$

integrating from 0 to T (finite):

$$\mu(T) y(T) - \mu(0) y(0) = \int_0^T \dot{\mu}(t) y(t) dt + \int_0^T \mu(t) \dot{y}(t) dt$$

then let $T \rightarrow \infty$).

Lagrangian becomes:

$$\max \quad \tilde{L}(0) = \int_0^{\infty} [f(t, z(t), y(t)) e^{-\rho t} + \mu(t) g(t, z(t), y(t))] dt + \int_0^{\infty} \dot{\mu}(t) y(t) dt + \mu(0) y(0)$$

imposing $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) y(t) = 0$.

F.o.c.:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f(\cdot)}{\partial z(t)} e^{-\rho t} + \mu(t) \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial z(t)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial y} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f(\cdot)}{\partial y(t)} e^{-\rho t} + \mu(t) \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial y(t)} + \dot{\mu}(t) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \mu} = 0 \Rightarrow \dot{y}(t) = g(t, z(t), y(t))$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) y(t) = 0$, $y(0) = y_0$.

Hamiltonian solution procedure

Define the (present value) *Hamiltonian*:

$$H(t) = [f(t, z(t), y(t)) + \lambda(t) g(t, z(t), y(t))] e^{-\rho t}$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is in current value terms:

$$\mu(t) = \lambda(t) e^{-\rho t}$$

The f.o.c. are:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f(\cdot)}{\partial z(t)} e^{-\rho t} + \lambda(t) e^{-\rho t} \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial z(t)} = 0$$

$$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = \frac{d[\lambda(t) e^{-\rho t}]}{dt} \Rightarrow - \left(\frac{\partial f(\cdot)}{\partial y(t)} e^{-\rho t} + \underbrace{\lambda(t) e^{-\rho t}}_{\mu(t)} \frac{\partial g(\cdot)}{\partial y(t)} \right) = \underbrace{\dot{\lambda}(t) e^{-\rho t} - \rho \lambda(t) e^{-\rho t}}_{\dot{\mu}(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial [\lambda(t) e^{-\rho t}]} = \dot{y} \Rightarrow \dot{y}(t) = g(t, z(t), y(t))$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) e^{-\rho t} y(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

3. Dynamic, cost-of-adjustment model of investment demand

Objective function of “representative” firm with infinite horizon under certainty:

$$F(t) = R(t, K(t), N(t)) - p_K(t) G(I(t), K(t)) - w(t)N(t)$$

$F(t)$: cash flow at time t

$K(t)$: capital stock used in production at time $t \rightarrow$ “predetermined” variable

$R(\cdot)$: revenue function (depending on technology and product demand conditions), with $R_K > 0$, $R_N > 0$, $R_{KK} < 0$, $R_{NN} < 0$

$N(t)$: labour \rightarrow perfectly “flexible” input (only wage costs, no adjustment costs)

$I(t)$: investment at time $t \rightarrow$ changes K entailing costs given by: $p_K(t) G(I(t), K(t))$

$G(I(t), K(t))$: (physical) investment costs with $G_I > 0$, $G_{II} > 0$ (convex function in I) and

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} G(0, K(t)) = 0 \quad \forall K(t) \\ G_I(0, K(t)) = 1 \quad \forall K(t) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{if } I = 0 : \text{ no costs} \\ \text{if } I > 0 : \text{ unit investment cost } > p_K \\ \text{if } I < 0 : \text{ unit investment “revenue” } < p_K \end{cases}$$

Consequences on firm’s behaviour:

- graduality in investment/disinvestment;
- investments followed by disinvestments are costly \rightarrow investments are (partly) irreversible.

Accumulation constraint:

in discrete time

$$K(t + \Delta t) = K(t) + I(t)\Delta t - \delta K(t)\Delta t$$

in continuous time

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{K(t + \Delta t) - K(t)}{\Delta t} &= I(t) - \delta K(t) \\ \Rightarrow \dot{K}(t) &= I(t) - \delta K(t) \end{aligned}$$

From the equation of motion, K can be expressed as the result of past investment decisions:

$$\left[\dot{K}(t) + \delta K(t) \right] e^{\delta t} = I(t) e^{\delta t}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^T [\dot{K}(t) + \delta K(t)] e^{\delta t} dt = \int_{t_0}^T I(t) e^{\delta t} dt$$

$$K(t) e^{\delta t} \Big|_{t_0}^T = \int_{t_0}^T I(t) e^{\delta t} dt$$

$$K(T) e^{\delta T} - K(t_0) e^{\delta t_0} = \int_{t_0}^T I(t) e^{\delta t} dt$$

$$K(T) = K(t_0) e^{-\delta(T-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^T I(t) e^{-\delta(T-t)} dt$$

letting $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$:

$$K(T) = \int_{-\infty}^T I(t) e^{-\delta(T-t)} dt \quad .$$

Firm's dynamic optimization problem

$$\max_{I(t), N(t), K(t)} V(0) = \int_0^{\infty} \underbrace{[R(t, K(t), N(t)) - p_K(t) G(I(t), K(t)) - w(t)N(t)]}_{F(t)} e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} dt$$

subject to:

$$\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t)$$

$$K(0) = K_0 \quad (\text{given}) \quad \text{and transversality condition}$$

Solution

Hamiltonian:

$$H(t) = \{[R(t, K(t), N(t)) - p_K(t) G(I(t), K(t)) - w(t)N(t)] + \lambda(t) [I(t) - \delta K(t)]\} e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds}$$

f.o.c.:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial N} = 0 &\Rightarrow \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial N(t)} = w(t) \\
\frac{\partial H}{\partial I} = 0 &\Rightarrow p_K(t) \frac{\partial G(\cdot)}{\partial I(t)} = \lambda(t) \\
-\frac{\partial H}{\partial K} = \frac{d \left[\lambda(t) e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} \right]}{dt} &\Rightarrow - \left(\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} - p_K(t) \frac{\partial G(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} - \delta \lambda(t) \right) e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} = \\
&= \dot{\lambda}(t) e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} - r(t) \lambda(t) e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} \\
&\Rightarrow r(t) \lambda(t) = \left(\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} - p_K(t) \frac{\partial G(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} - \delta \lambda(t) \right) + \dot{\lambda}(t) \\
&\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t) \\
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) e^{-\int_0^t r(s) ds} K(t) &= 0 \quad , \quad K(0) = K_0
\end{aligned}$$

Simplified case

$$F(t) = R(K(t), N(t)) - p_K G(I(t)) - wN(t)$$

r, w, p_K constant.

F.o.c. become:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial N(t)} = w &\Rightarrow N(t) = n(w, K(t)) \\
p_K \frac{\partial G(\cdot)}{\partial I(t)} = \lambda(t) & \\
r \lambda(t) = \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} - \delta \lambda(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t) &
\end{aligned}$$

Define:

$$\begin{aligned}
q(t) &\equiv \frac{\lambda(t)}{p_K} \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{\partial G(\cdot)}{\partial I(t)} = q(t)
\end{aligned}$$

since $G_I > 0$ and $G_{II} > 0 \rightarrow G_I$ invertible

$$\Rightarrow I(t) = \iota(q(t)) \quad \text{with} \quad \iota' \equiv \frac{dI}{dq} = \frac{1}{G_{II}} > 0$$

Using definition of $q(t)$ and $\dot{q}(t) = \frac{\dot{\lambda}(t)}{p_K}$, f.o.c. are expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial N(t)} = w \quad \Rightarrow \quad N(t) = n(w, K(t))$$

$$\frac{\partial G(\cdot)}{\partial I(t)} = q(t)$$

$$r q(t) = \frac{1}{p_K} \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} - \delta q(t) + \dot{q}(t)$$

$$\dot{K}(t) = \iota(q(t)) - \delta K(t)$$

\Rightarrow system of two differential equations in q and K :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}(t) = (r + \delta) q(t) - \frac{1}{p_K} \frac{\partial R(K(t), n(w, K(t)))}{\partial K(t)} \\ \dot{K}(t) = \iota(q(t)) - \delta K(t) \end{cases}$$

Qualitative analysis of steady state and dynamic properties

Stationary loci for q and K :

- $\dot{q}(t) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \quad q = \frac{1}{r + \delta} \frac{1}{p_K} \frac{\partial R(K, n(w, K))}{\partial K}$$

slope:

$$\left. \frac{dq}{dK} \right|_{\dot{q}=0} = \frac{1}{r + \delta} \frac{1}{p_K} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial^2 R(\cdot)}{\partial K^2} + \frac{\partial^2 R(\cdot)}{\partial K \partial N} \frac{\partial n}{\partial K} \right)}_{(-) \text{ by assumption}} < 0$$

- $\dot{K}(t) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \iota(q) = \delta K$$

slope:

$$\left. \frac{dq}{dK} \right|_{\dot{K}=0} = \frac{\delta}{\iota'} > 0$$

Linearizing the system around the steady state (q_{ss}, K_{ss}) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q} \\ \dot{K} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r + \delta & -\frac{1}{p_K} \frac{d}{dK} \left(\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K} \right) \\ \iota' & -\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q - q_{ss} \\ K - K_{ss} \end{pmatrix}$$

Determinant of matrix of derivatives (evaluated at steady state):

$$-\delta(r + \delta) + \iota' \frac{1}{p_K} \frac{d}{dK} \left(\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K} \right) < 0 \Rightarrow \text{“saddlepoint” stability}$$

Forward-looking interpretation of λ and q

Solving “forward” the dynamic equation

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) - (r + \delta) \lambda(t) = -\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)}$$

$$\left[\dot{\lambda}(t) - (r + \delta) \lambda(t) \right] e^{-(r+\delta)t} = -\frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} e^{-(r+\delta)t}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^T \underbrace{\left[\dot{\lambda}(t) - (r + \delta) \lambda(t) \right] e^{-(r+\delta)t}}_{\frac{d}{dt} (\lambda(t) e^{-(r+\delta)t})} dt = - \int_{t_0}^T \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} e^{-(r+\delta)t} dt$$

$$\lambda(T) e^{-(r+\delta)T} - \lambda(t_0) e^{-(r+\delta)t_0} = - \int_{t_0}^T \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} e^{-(r+\delta)t} dt$$

Letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(T) e^{-(r+\delta)T} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(t_0) e^{-(r+\delta)t_0} = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} e^{-(r+\delta)t} dt$$

$$\lambda(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} e^{-(r+\delta)(t-t_0)} dt$$

and

$$q(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_K} \frac{\partial R(\cdot)}{\partial K(t)} e^{-(r+\delta)(t-t_0)} dt$$

Marginal q and average q

If $R(\cdot)$ and $G(\cdot)$ are linearly homogeneous in K, N and I, K respectively:

$$R(\alpha K, \alpha N) = \alpha R(K, N) \quad \text{and} \quad G(\alpha I, \alpha K) = \alpha G(I, K)$$

the Euler theorem holds:

$$R(K, N) = R_K K + R_N N \quad \text{and} \quad G(I, K) = G_I I + G_K K$$

so that the cash flow function $F(t)$ becomes.

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= R(K(t), N(t)) - p_K G(I(t), K(t)) - w N(t) \\ &= \underbrace{(R_K K + R_N N)}_{R(\cdot)} - p_K \underbrace{(G_I I + G_K K)}_{G(\cdot)} - w N \end{aligned}$$

since $(R_N - w) N = 0$ by f.o.c. (along an optimal path)

$$= \underbrace{(R_K - p_K G_K)}_{(r+\delta)\lambda - \dot{\lambda} \text{ by f.o.c.}} K - \underbrace{p_K G_I}_{\lambda \text{ by f.o.c.}} \underbrace{I}_{\dot{K} + \delta K \text{ by f.o.c.}}$$

$$\Rightarrow F(t) = r \lambda(t) K(t) - \dot{\lambda}(t) K(t) - \lambda(t) \dot{K}(t)$$

This is equivalent to:

$$e^{-rt} F(t) = e^{-rt} r \lambda(t) K(t) - e^{-rt} \dot{\lambda}(t) K(t) - e^{-rt} \lambda(t) \dot{K}(t)$$

$$\text{or } e^{-rt} F(t) = \frac{d}{dt} (-e^{-rt} \lambda(t) K(t))$$

Integrating:

$$V(0) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-rt} F(t) dt = [-e^{-rt} \lambda(t) K(t)]_0^{\infty}$$
$$\Rightarrow V(0) = \lambda(0) K(0)$$

using $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-rt} \lambda(t) K(t) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(0) = V(0) / K(0)$$

Then, for every time t :

$$q(t) \equiv \frac{\lambda(t)}{p_K} = \frac{V(t)}{p_K K(t)}$$

\Rightarrow marginal and average q coincide.

Problems

1. Consider a firm with capital as the only factor of production. Its revenues at time t are $R(K(t))$ if installed capital is $K(t)$. The accumulation constraint has the usual form, $\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t)$, and the cost of investing $I(t)$ is a function $G(I(t))$ that does not depend on installed capital (for simplicity, $p_k \equiv 1$).
 - (a) Suppose the firm aims at maximizing the present discounted value at rate r of its cash flows, $F(t)$. Express cash flows in terms of the functions $R(\cdot)$ and $G(\cdot)$, derive the relevant first-order conditions, and characterize the solution graphically making specific assumptions as to the derivatives of $R(\cdot)$ and $G(\cdot)$.
 - (b) Characterize the solution under more specific assumptions: suppose revenues are a linear function of installed capital, $R(K) = \alpha K$, and let the investment cost function be quadratic, $G(I) = I + bI^2$. Derive and interpret an expression for the steady-state capital stock: what happens if $\delta = 0$?
2. A firm's production function is

$$Y(t) = \alpha\sqrt{K(t)} + \beta\sqrt{L(t)},$$

and its product is sold at a given price, normalized to unity. Factor L is not subject to adjustment costs, and is paid w per unit time. Factor K obeys the accumulation constraint

$$\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t)$$

and the cost of investing I is

$$G(I) = I + \frac{\gamma}{2}I^2$$

per unit time (we let $p_k = 1$). The firm maximizes the present discounted value at rate r of its cash flows.

- (a) Write the Hamiltonian for this problem, derive and discuss briefly the first-order conditions, and draw a diagram to illustrate the solution.

- (b) Analyze graphically the effects of an increase in δ (faster depreciation of installed capital) and give an economic interpretation of the adjustment trajectory.

3. As a function of installed capital K , a firm's revenues are given by

$$R(K) = K - \frac{1}{2}K^2.$$

The usual accumulation constraint has $\delta = 0.25$, so $\dot{K} = I - 0.25K$. Investing I costs $p_k G(I) = p_k \left(I + \frac{1}{2}I^2 \right)$. The firm maximizes the present discounted value at rate $r = 0.25$ of its cash flows.

- (a) Write the first-order conditions of the dynamic optimization problem, and characterize the solution graphically supposing that $p_k = 1$ (constant).
- (b) Starting from the steady state of the $p_k = 1$ case, show the effects of a 50% subsidy of investment (so that p_k is halved).
- (c) Discuss the dynamics of optimal investment if at time $t = 0$, when p_k is halved, it is also announced that at some future time $T > 0$ the interest rate will be tripled, so that $r(t) = 0.75$ for $t \geq T$.
4. The revenue flow of a firm is given by

$$R(K, N) = 2K^{1/2}N^{1/2}$$

where N is a freely adjustable factor, paid a wage $w(t)$ at time t ; K is accumulated according to

$$\dot{K} = I - \delta K$$

and an investment flow I costs

$$G(I) = \left(I + \frac{1}{2}I^2 \right)$$

(note that $p_k = 1$, hence $q = \lambda$).

- (a) Write the first-order conditions for maximization of present discounted (at rate r) value of cash flows over an infinite planning horizon.

- (b) Given r e δ constant, write an expression for $\lambda(0)$ in terms of $w(t)$, the function describing the time path of wages.
- (c) Evaluate that expression in the case where $w(t) = \bar{w}$ is constant, and characterize the solution graphically.
- (d) How could the problem be modified so that investment is a function of the average value of capital (that is, of Tobin's *average q*)?